DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



August 24, 1992

ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 92-77

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS
ALL DISTRICT ATTORNEYS
ALL TITLE IV AGENCIES

REASON	FOR THIS TRANSMITTAL
[] St	ate Law Change
	ederal Law or Regulation
[] Co	Change : ourt Order or Settlement :
[] [X] Cl	Agreement arification Requested by
[V] CI	One or More Counties
[] In	nitiated by SDSS

SUBJECT: RECORDS RETENTION

This All-County Letter is a reminder of the legal requirements for records retention and the identification of certain records which require extended retention periods.

A. Public Assistance Records

The Manual of Policies and Procedures (MPP) sets forth various retention periods for public assistance records. Generally, the regulations require that all public assistance (23-353), social service (10-119.2), and administrative claiming (25-815.38) records and their supporting documents be retained for three years from the date the State submits the last expenditure report to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Case record material must be retained for three years after the date the last State expenditure report has been made to HHS for the period the records were last used to document eligibility. MPP Sections 23-353 through 23-356 set forth the requirements for certain records which have retention periods which vary from the general rule. While the regulations must be reviewed for a complete listing, the most common occurrences are listed below. Some records require retention periods of more than three years. These include:

1. Records and their supporting documents must be retained when there is an open Federal or State audit. This includes those Federal audits in progress and pending issuance of final reports listed on Attachment I, those unresolved Federal audits listed on Attachment II, and the State Controller's Office audits listed on Attachment IV.* Counties are to inform contractors providing social services to retain all necessary records for audits which have not been resolved/closed.

^{*} Records retention periods vary among unresolved State audits. See special instructions at the beginning of each category of State Controller's Office audits listed in Attachment IV.

- 2. Case records in which criminal or civil litigation was involved are to be retained for three years after the final claim is submitted for Federal reimbursement. These records include those which were used in the determination of eligibility, including denials, for or the amount of retroactive benefits. Other records in the case must be retained in accordance with the requirements for public assistance records specified elsewhere in this letter. Attachment V lists court cases involving SDSS which require the extended retention period.
- 3. The Form ABCD 278L, List of Authorizations to Start, Change, or Stop Aid Payments (or its equivalent), which bears the original initials or the original signature of the delegated county employee who authorized the specific action is identified as one of the records and supporting documents which must be retained in accordance with the retention period for the case record material.
- 4. The County shall retain Form ABCD 278L or its equivalent for a period of 10 years following closure in all cases where notification to do so by the child support agency has been received.
- 5. County welfare warrants must be retained for five years. Warrant registers must be retained for 15 years.

Other records may be considered as nonessential and need not be retained in the case records. Listed below are examples of those documents which may be purged from the case records:

- 1. Documents or evidence (photocopies) provided by the recipient such as birth certificates and divorce papers may be purged (MPP Section 48-001.112) provided that there is a written record of the type of evidence and its pertinent content. This notation would normally be made in the case narrative. Original documents received should have been returned to the applicant/recipient.
- 2. Documents which were never used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided they have no potential of being used to take action on a case. For example, a note from an applicant canceling a meeting may be needed as evidence, should you determine a denial is appropriate based on noncooperation. However, once the meeting has taken place the note would be of no value and may be destroyed.
- 3. Records which were used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided three years have passed since the last state expenditure report for that period has been submitted to the HHS. These records must be retained longer when there are unresolved audits* or court cases.

Attachment III lists closed audit records which may be flagged for destruction.

^{*} See footnote on page 1.

B. Food Stamp Records

There are two separate retention requirements for Food Stamp Program records. First, all program records are to be retained for a period of three years from the month of origin. Second, all fiscal records and accountable documents are to be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. This means that records such as, but not limited to authorization documents, cashier's daily reports, Notices of Change, Form FNS-250s (Food Coupon Accountability Report), HIR cards, and tally sheets shall be retained for three years. However, any documents or records which are involved in any billing or claim shall be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. For example, FNS-250s which do not result in a billing against the state agency shall be retained three years from the month of origin. But, FNS-250s which result in a billing must be retained for three years from the date that obligations for or against the federal government have been liquidated. Also, any records or documents which are involved in a fiscal audit* or investigation must be retained for three years from the date the audit or investigation is closed. To illustrate this point further, if an FNS-250 which originated prior to April 1986 had fiscal liabilities which were not settled until July 1988, that FNS-250 cannot be destroyed until August 1991. But, on the other hand, if the same FNS-250 had no fiscal involvement, it could be destroyed as of May 1989. Attachment III lists closed audit records which may be flagged for destruction.

Some records require retention periods of more than three years. These include:

- 1. Food Stamp records that are a part of an assistance case record must be retained in accordance with MPP Chapter 23-350. (See Part A of this letter.)
- 2. Records and their supporting documents for which there is an open Federal or State audit must be retained. This includes those federal audits in progress and pending issuance of final reports and the unresolved audits* listed on Attachments I, II, and IV.
- 3. Case records in which criminal or civil litigation was involved are to be retained for three years after the final claim is submitted for Federal reimbursement. Records which must be retained include those documents which were used in the determination of eligibility (including denials) and those used to determine the amount paid as retroactive benefits. Other documents in the case record must be retained in accordance with the requirements for public assistance records specified elsewhere in this letter. Attachment V lists court cases involving SDSS which require the extended retention period.

^{*} See footnote on page 1.

C. Title IV-D Child Support Records

Federal regulation 45 CFR 74.21 requires records to be retained for three years from the starting date specified in 45 CFR 74.22. That regulation states that the starting date for the retention of (Title IV-D) records begins on the day that the grantee (SDSS) submits its expenditure report for the last quarter of the Federal fiscal year. In other words, Federal regulations require closed case records to be retained for three years after the date that the last quarter's State expenditure report is made to the Federal Government for the Federal fiscal year that the records were closed. More simply, case records must be retained for a maximum of four years and four months (the normal period of time that would occur between the date a case is closed and the date SDSS would submit its last quarter's expenditure report for the Federal fiscal year that the case was closed).

Federal regulation 45 CFR 74.21 sets forth the requirements for certain records which have retention requirements which vary from this general rule. Some records require a longer retention period. These include:

- 1. Records and their supporting documentation must be retained when they are the subject of an open Federal or State audit.
- 2. Records and their supporting documentation must be retained when they are the subject of pending civil litigation or when court orders require extended retention periods.

It should be noted that the Federal Government has authority to audit records, regardless of their age, for as long as they are retained.

The provisions of this letter are for the fiscal purposes of SDSS and do not authorize the destruction of those records which have a longer retention period required by other laws/regulations, court cases, or unresolved audits.*

The retention periods are the same for paper and microfilm records. For the conditions on the substitution of microfilm for paper records please refer to ACL 85-34.

Please submit any comments or questions regarding records retention to Jeff Smith, Records Management, 744 P Street, M.S. 7-179, Sacramento, CA 95814, or call (916) 657-1914.

DENNIS J. BOYLE

Acting Deputy Director Administration Division

Attachments

cc: CWDA

This letter supersedes All-County Letter No. 92-32.

^{*} See footnote on page 1.

FEDERAL AUDITS PENDING RELEASE OF FINAL AUDIT REPORTS

Programs Administered by the Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 07/01/92Page 1 of 2

ID Number	A Description	udit/Review Period (1)	Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Records Required to Be Retained
CIN 09-91 * -00086	DHHS-OIG Financial Audit of California's Foster Care Program	FFY's 1989, 1990, 1991	ď	Los Angeles Marin Riverside San Bernardino San Diego Santa Clara	B & C
CIN 09-91 * -00087	DHHS-OIG Financial Audit of California's Adoption Assistance Program	FFY's 1989, 1990 & 1991	b	Los Angeles Orange Sacramento San Bernardino San Diego San Francisco San Joaquin Santa Clara	B & C
CA-90 PR/PM	OCSE-Child Support Enforcement Program Compliance Review	7/01/89 - 06/30/90	ь	All Counties	G

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records, and audit-related materials.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

G Case records, child support collections and disbursement records and auditrelated materials.

b Audit survey or field work in process.

^{*} New since ACL 92-32.

FEDERAL AUDITS PENDING RELEASE OF FINAL AUDIT REPORTS

Programs Administered by the Department of Agriculture

Status as of:

07/01/92

Page 2 of 2

ID Number	Description	Audit/Review Period (1)	Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Records Required to Be Retained
27600-4-SF*	Financial Audit of California's Food Stamp Pgrm. Administration Costs.	FFY's 1991 & 1992	b	Contra Costa	I
27070-2HY	Nationwide FSP Internal Control Review; Focus on FNS 209 and FNS 250 Reports	FFY 1991 Year End Reports & Quarter Ending 09/30/91	е	Los Angeles San Bernardino San Diego Sacramento San Joaquin	I

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

I FSP case records, claims and reports and audit related materials.

b Audit survey or field work in process.

c Field work and exit conference completed; draft report in process.

^{*} New since ACL 92-32.

FEDERAL AUDITS: FINAL AUDIT REPORTS ISSUED

Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of:

07/01/92

Page 1 of 2

ID Number D PUBLIC AS	escription P	udit/Review eriod (1)	Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained
CA-IV-E/ FC for FFY 85 & FFY 86	Foster Care	10/01/84 - 09/30/86	t	36 Counties (3)	\$ 8,870,768	В & С
ACN 90258-09	DHHS/OCSE Audit of California's Child Suppor Enforcement Program	07/01/75 - 06/30/77 t	S	Fresno	34,904	С
CA-88-IR, CA-89-IR	FSA-OCSE Review of Interest/ Investment Income Earned on Child Support Collections	10/01/81 - 03/31/89 d	i	12 Counties (4)	10,887,307	D

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

⁽³⁾ See Attachment IIA.

⁽⁴⁾ See Attachment IIB.

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records, and audit-related materials.

C Administrative expense claims and audit-related materials.

D Child support claims, fiscal records and audit-related materials.

i SDSS filed request for Department Appeals Board (DAB) Review; pre-appeal negotiations in process.

s Board of Control claim pending.

t Settlement negotiations in process.

FEDERAL AUDITS: FINAL AUDIT REPORTS ISSUED

Programs Administered by the Department of Agriculture

Status as of: 07/01/92Page 2 of 2

ID Number	Description	Audit/Review Period (1)	Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained
FOOD STA	MMPS:					
27019-30 SF (7314)	JUSDA-OIG June 1988 Wage Match of Federal Employees Receiving Food Stamps in SF Co.	06/01/88 - 06/30/88	r	San Francisco	\$ 15 , 810	В
2714- 358-SF	Food Stamp Eligibility		0	San Francisco	806,800	Е

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

⁽²⁾ County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

B Food Stamp case records and audit-related materials.

E Food Stamp fiscal records.

o SDSS is reopening settlement negotiations with the Food and Nutrition Service.

r County implementing corrective action.

CA-IV-E for FFY 85 & 86

COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FEDERAL FOSTER CARE PROGRAM TITLE IV-E REVIEW FOR FFY 85 AND FFY 86

Alameda

Butte

Contra Costa

El Dorado

Fresno

Humboldt

Imperial

Kern

Kings

Los Angeles

Madera

Marin

Mendocino

Monterev

Orange

Placer

riacer

Riverside

Sacramento

San Bernardino

San Diego

San Francisco

San Joaquin

San Luis Obispo

San Mateo

Santa Barbara

Santa Clara

Siskiyou

Solano

Sonoma

Stanislaus

Sutter

Tehama

Tulare

Ventura

Yolo

Yuba

CA-88-IR/CA-89-IR

COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FSA-OCSE REVIEW OF INTEREST/INVESTMENT INCOME EARNED ON CHILD SUPPORT COLLECTIONS/10/01/81 THROUGH 03/31/89

County	Federal Funds Questioned*
Alameda Sacramento San Francisco Santa Clara Orange San Diego Los Angeles Contra Costa Riverside San Bernardino Fresno Ventura	\$ 706,269 216,283 685,677 1,403,525 322,418 1,674,826 1,954,184 219,552 1,383,386 1,139,511 516,648 665,028
TOTAL (07-01-89)	\$10,887,307*

^{*} These amounts are approximate. At the release date of this letter, portions of certain of the individual County disallowances listed above were still being contested.

CLOSED FEDERAL AUDITS

Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 07/01/92

Page 1 of

		Audit/		State/County		Records	Record
ID		Review	Audit	Agencies	Exception		Destruction
	Description	Period(1)	Agency	Affected	Amount	Be Retained	l Date**
PUBLIC	ASSISTANCE RE	CORDS:					
20272	WIN	10/01/78- 03/31/81	DHHS- OIG	Alameda Contra Costa Los Angeles Sacramento San Bernardino San Francisco	Negotiated Final Settlement Amount: \$272,608 (12/31/88)**	A € *	12/31/92
50260 - 09	Refugee Resettlement Program	04/01/81 - 09/30/82	DHHS- OIG	San Francisco Los Angeles San Diego Sacramento Orange Alameda Santa Clara	Reduced by DHHS-GAB to \$22,941,862 (3/31/88)***	B •	12/31/92
62612 – 09	Refugee Resettlement Program	10/01/82 - 12/31/84	DHHS- OIG	Los Angeles	\$ 3,105,483 (12/31/89)**	B **	12/31/92
62614 - 09	Refugee Resettlement	10/01/82 - 12/31/84	DHHS- OIG	Orange	\$ 717,938 (12/31/89)**	B	12/31/92
CA-IV-H AAP for FFY 85	Adoption	10/01/84 - 09/30/86	DHHS ACYF	Alameda Los Angeles Orange Sacramento San Diego San Francisco Santa Clara	Reduced by DHHS-ACFY to \$ 233,719 (09/30/91)***	B	09/30/94

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

Case records, payment records, and audit-related materials. Α

Case records, assistance claims, payment records, and audit-related materials.

^{*} New since ACL 92-32.

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

Date Federal adjustment completed.

CLOSED FEDERAL AUDITS

Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 07/01/92

ID Number	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount	Records Required to Be Retained	Record Destruction Date**
62641	Foster Care Payments to Profit- Making Child Care Institutions	07/01/80 - 06/30/86	DHHS- OIG	Alameda Los Angeles	Negotiated Final Settlement Amount: \$945,805 (03/15/90)	B ***	03/15/93
CA- IV-E (Rite of Pas- sage)	Federal Foster Care Program Title IV-E Payments for Children Placed in "Rite of Passage"	01/01/84 - 06/30/86		Alameda Contra Costa Humboldt Marin Sacramento San Bernardino San Diego Santa Clara	\$ 451,325 (03/15/90)		03/15/93
CA-82- DP	Costs Claimed for Automated Data Processing Service Under Title IV-D of the Social Security Act			Los Angeles	Negotiated final sett ment amoun \$540,931 (03/31/90)	le- t	03/31/93

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

Date Federal adjustment completed. * * *

Case records, assistance claims, payment records, and audit-related materials. В

Administrative expense claims and other audit-related materials (e.g., ADP С documentation).

These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

CLOSED FEDERAL AUDITS

Programs Administered by the Department of Health and Human Services and the Department of Agriculture

Status as of: 07/01/92

ID Number	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount	Records Required to Be Retained	Record Destruction Date**
CA-IVE FC for FFY 84	Federal Foster Care Program Title IV—E Review	10/01/83 09/30/84	DHHS-OIG	Alameda Los Angeles Orange Sacramento San Diego San Francisco Santa Clara	\$4,816,136 (11/19/91)	B ***	11/19/94
FOOD ST	TAMP RECORDS:						
10273 - 09	Food Stamp Certifica- tion Costs	10/1/71 - 06/30/72	USDA- OIG	34 Counties (6)	\$4,290,534	С	06/30/93
7541 6 – SF	USDA-OIG Reviews of California's ADP Cost Reporting and SAWS Standards	10/01/87 - 09/30/88		Butte Contra Costa Merced Napa	\$ 3,790 (07/16/90)	H ***	07/15/93
27600- 1-SF	USDA-OIG Review of Administra- tive Expenses for California FSP	07/01/87- 06/30/88 a's	USDA- OIG	San Francisco	\$ 1,796 (07/06/90)	H ***	06/30/93

⁽¹⁾ If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

(6) See Attachment IIIC.

*** Date Federal adjustment completed.

C Administrative expense claims and other audit-related materials.

H Administrative expense claims and other audit-related materials (e.g., ADP documentation).

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records and audit related materials.

^{**} These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

AUDIT REPORT #10273-89 (10/01/71 - 06/30/72)

FSP Certification Costs

County	Federal Funds Questioned
Alameda Calaveras Contra Costa Del Norte Fresno Humboldt Imperial Lassen Los Angeles Madera Marin Modoc Mono Monterey Nevada Orange Placer Riverside Sacramento San Benito San Francisco San Luis Obispo San Mateo Santa Barbara Santa Clara Shasta Siskiyou Solano Sonoma Stanislaus Tehama Trinity Yolo	Questioned \$ 224,577
Yuba	7,438
TOTAL	\$4,290,534

Unresolved Audits

Status as of: 07/01/92 Page 1 of 10

The Counties on the following lists in Attachment IV have unresolved SCO audits. Separate lists exist for each major stage of audit resolution. Within each list, audits are arrayed in alphabetic order by County. For those Counties having more than one unresolved audit, there will be an entry for each audit. See the applicable record retention instructions at the beginning of each list, or category.

New Audits Completed

The following SCO audits have been completed and the final audit reports have been issued. However, at the time this list was prepared the protest period was still in process.

County	Audit	Date of	Amount
	Period	Audit Report	of Report
None	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Protested Audits

The following SCO audits have been protested by the effected Counties. All records pertinent to the final resolution and adjustment of any audit exception being protested must be retained by the effected County until January 15, 1995 (see County Fiscal Letter No. 91/92-25 issued December 20, 1991).

County	Audit Period	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
Alameda	07/87 - 06/90	07/12/91	\$ 3,995,925
Lake	07/85 - 06/89	04/05/91	121,554
Los Angeles Administrativ (DPSS)	07/86 - 06/88 ve	09/22/89	9,783,712

Protested Audits (Continued)

Status as of: 07/01/92

Page 2 of 10

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
Los Angeles Administrat (DPSS)	07/88 - 06/90 ive	06/14/91	\$ 3,454,992
Monterey	07/86 - 06/89	05/10/91	1,063,915
Sacramento	07/87 - 06/90	05/17/91	287,981

Audit Decisions That are On Appeal

The following SCO audits have decisions that are on appeal and are pending further resolution. After the appeal is resolved and all State and County adjustments have been applied, these audits will be transfered to the "Applied" list and a record destruction date will be assigned after the final state expenditure report implementing the appeal decision is sent to the DHHS..

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
Los Angeles Administative (DPSS)	07/76 - 06/77	02/08/80	\$ 4,322,772
Los Angeles Administrative (DPSS)		06/25/82	21,817,942
Los Angeles Administrative (DPSS)		12/07/84	19,773,982
Los Angeles Administrative (DPSS)		11/21/86	48,582,432
Los Angeles (DPSS)	07/84 - 06/86	06/24/88	28,057,667
Los Angeles (DCS)	07/85 - 06/88	06/30/89	29,675,134

Other Appealed Audits

The following SCO audits have been appealed by the effected Counties. All records pertinent to the final resolution and adjustment of any audit exception being appealed must be retained by the effected County until January 15, 1995 (see County Fiscal Letter No. 91/92-25 issued December 20, 1991).

Status as of: 07/01/92 Page 3 of 10

			, _{marter} personalities. Annu martine propriet personalities.	
County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report	
Alameda	01/77 - 06/78	12/07/79	\$ 3,015,877	
Alameda	07/78 - 06/81	08/26/83	6,344,452	
Alameda	07/81 - 06/84	01/31/86	2,987,847	
Alameda	07/84 - 06/87	02/24/89	2,532,964	
Contra Costa	07/77 - 06/79	11/07/80	1,929,100	
Contra Costa	07/79 - 06/80	01/22/82	665,098	
Contra Costa	07/80 - 06/83	10/09/84	2,324,721	
Fresno	07/85 - 06/88	05/26/89	2,430,502	
Imperial	07/84 - 06/89	09/28/90	35,678	
Kern	07/85 - 06/87	10/14/88	897,363	
Los Angeles Adoptions	07/79 - 06/82	08/26/83	719,612	
Los Angeles Adoptions	07/82 - 09/84	12/26/86	600,140	
Los Angeles BHI	07/69 - 06/76	06/07/78	88,533	
Los Angeles BHI	07/69 - 06/75	06/07/78	293,349	
Marin	07/82 - 06/85	06/12/87	259,228	
Merced	07/85 - 06/88	12/08/89	238,927	
Orange	07/79 - 06/81	02/18/83	1,555,045	

Other Appealed Audits (Continued)

07/01/92 Status as of: Page 4 of 10 Amount Date of Audit of Report Audit Report Period County 09/15/89 \$ 3,713,142 07/85 - 06/88Orange 1,468,773 07/85 - 06/87 12/30/88 Riverside 1,972,453 05/15/87 07/82 - 06/85 Riverside San 1,586,426 07/85 - 06/88 07/13/90 Bernardino 9,745,728 01/30/81 01/77 - 06/79San Francisco 5,656,263 02/25/83 07/79 - 06/81San Francisco 08/22/86 19,134,593 07/81 - 06/84San Francisco 15,158,850 09/02/88 San Francisco 07/84 - 06/871,465,554 12/28/90 07/87 - 06/89San Francisco 947,129 07/81 - 06/83 12/20/85 Santa Clara 2,932,809 09/08/89 07/86 - 06/88Santa Clara 318,863 11/20/81 10/77 - 06/80Shasta 2,243,519 07/80 - 06/85 06/12/87 Shasta 462,640 07/85 - 06/88 12/15/89 Shasta 508,513 07/81 - 06/85 03/03/87 Tulare 35,335 12/09/88 07/85 - 06/87Tulare 2,112,795 10/11/82 04/79 - 06/81Ventura 4,490,115 06/12/87 07/81 - 06/85 Ventura 537,448 06/30/89 Ventura 07/85 - 06/88 138,064 05/27/88 07/84 - 06/87Yolo 308,914 07/84 - 06/87 02/10/89 Yuba

ATTACHMENT IV

STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE (SCO) AUDITS

Audits in Abeyance (No Appealed Issues)

Status as of: 07/01/92 Page 5 of 10

The following SCO audits are in abeyance. Decision letters have been issued on these audits and none of the decisions have been appealed. The only outstanding issues are those which are being held in abeyance. All records pertaining to any audit report exceptions which remain in abeyance must be retained by the effected Counties until January 15, 1995 (see County Fiscal Letter No. 91/92-25 issued December 20, 1991).

County	Audit	Date of	Amount
	Period	Audit Report	of Report
Orange	07/83 - 06/85	07/24/87	\$ 8,047,314

Audits In Application

The following SCO audits have been finalized; actions are now being taken to adjust claims so that there will be a proper State, County, and Federal share of costs claimed and to collect or pay any amounts due as a result of the audit. After all State and County adjustments have been applied, these audits will be transferred to the "Applied" list and a record destruction date will be assigned after the final state expenditure report implementing the appeal decision is sent to the DHHS.

County	Audit Period
Alpine	07/84 - 06/88
Amador	07/82 - 06/84
Amador	07/85 - 06/88
Calaveras	07/80 - 06/85
Colusa	07/83 - 06/86
Contra Costa	07/83 - 06/85

In Application (Continued)

Status as of: 07/01/92 Page 6 of 10

		1 age: 0 01
County	Audit Period	
Contra Costa	07/85 - 06/88	
Del Norte	07/81 - 06/86	
El Dorado	07/82 - 06/86	
Fresno	07/82 - 06/85	
Glenn	07/81 - 06/86	
Humboldt	04/75 - 09/78	
Humboldt	07/81 - 06/85	
Humboldt	07/85 - 06/88	
Imperial	07/84 - 06/89	
Inÿö	07/82 - 06/86	
Kern	07/82 - 06/85	
Kings	07/82 - 06/86	
Madera	07/85 - 06/88	ł :
Marin	07/85 - 06/89	
Mariposa	07/84 - 06/89	
Mendocino	07/82 - 06/85	
Mendocino	07/85 – 06/88	
Merced	07/81 - 06/85	
•		

In Application (Continued)

Status as of: 07/01/92 Page 7 of 10

County	Audit Period
Modoc	07/80 - 06/84
Monterey	07/83 - 06/86
Napa	07/85 - 06/88
Placer	07/79 - 06/82
Placer	07/82 - 06/86
Placer	07/86 - 06/88
Plumas	07/81 - 06/85
Riverside	10/84 - 06/86
Riverside	07/87 - 06/89
Sacramento	07/79 - 06/81
Sacramento	07/81 - 06/83
Sacramento	07/83 - 06/87
San Bernardino	07/83 - 06/85
San Benito	07/78 - 06/80
San Benito	07/84 - 06/88
San Diego	07/78 - 06/80
San Joaquin	07/87 - 06/89
San Luis Obispo	07/84 - 06/89

In Application (Continued)

Status as of: 07/01/92 Page 8 of 10

County	Audit Period
San Mateo	07/86 - 06/89
Santa Barbara	07/83 - 06/87
Santa Clara	04/79 - 06/81
Santa Clara	07/83 - 06/86
Santa Cruz	07/83 - 06/86
Sierra	07/81 - 06/86
Solano	07/82 - 06/86
Sonoma	07/82 - 06/85
Sonoma	07/85 - 06/87
Stanislaus	07/83 - 06/87
Sutter	07/85 - 06/88
Tehema	07/81 - 06/86
Tuolumne	01/76 - 06/78

Applied

Status as of: 07/01/92Page 9 of 10

The following SCO audits have been finalized, all resultant State and County adjustments have been applied and the final state expenditure report implementing the audit exceptions has been sent to the DHHS. The record destruction dates for the individual audits are specified below.

County	Audit Period	Record Destruction Date***
Butte	07/82 - 06/86	05/08/93
Butte	07/86 - 06/89	05/22/93
Fresno	07/80 - 06/82	10/05/93
Lassen	07/82 - 06/86	02/20/93
Mariposa	07/80 - 06/84	08/30/92
Mono	07/81 - 06/85	09/17/93
Orange	07/81 - 06/83	07/15/94
Riverside	07/80 - 06/82	08/22/93
San Joaquin	07/81 - 06/83	08/02/93
San Joaquin	07/83 - 06/87	09/13/92
Santa Cruz	07/80 - 06/83	11/13/92
Siskiyou	07/82 - 06/85	08/30/92
San Diego	07/82 - 06/85	05/24/93
San Diego	07/85 - 06/87	06/05/93
San Joaquin	07/83 - 06/87	09/13/92

^{***}These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

Applied (Continued)

Status as of: 07/01/92 Page 10 of 10

a iba	Audit Period	Record Destruction Date***
County	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY AND PRO	
San Mateo	07/76 - 06/78	09/05/93
San Mateo	07/83 - 06/86	09/05/93
,	07/80 - 06/83	11/13/92
Santa Cruz	07/80 - 00/03	(17.15/5
Sutter	07/82 - 06/85	09/06/93
Tuolumne	07/82 - 06/86	04/18/93
TOTAINTE	0,, 0=	
Yolo	07/79 - 06/84	08/01/93

^{***}These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

COURT CASES

- A. All case records associated with the following court cases may now be destroyed except for those which:
 - (1) were used in the determination of eligibility (including denials) for or the amount of retroactive benefits. The prior case records used must be retained in accordance with the legal requirements for public assistance records specified in this letter; or
 - (2) require extended retention pursuant to other provisions of this letter.

CASE	FSD ACL	ACIN	RECORDS COVERED	PERIOD COVERED
Sallis v. McMahon			AFDC	6/1/87 to 3/1/91
Grimsey v. McMahon	86-71 87-17 87-31	I-58-86	AFDC	1/18/85 - 6/23/86
Christine Jones, et. al. v. Clayton K. Yeutter	89– 21		Food Stamps	6/16/88 to 2/17/89
Welfare Recipients League v. Woods	84 - 15 82 - 15 81 - 58		AFDC	2/4/82 - 4/21/88

B. There are several pending court cases which require extended retention including the following:

Miller v. Woods and Community Services for the Disabled v. Woods (and payment to spouses - WRO v. McMahon)	84-58 90-48	I-37-84	IHSS	11/12/78 to Present
Marshall v. McMahon	90-48	,	IHSS	3/31/86 to Present

COURT CASES

CASE	FSD	ACL	ACIN	RECORDS COVERED	PERIOD COVERED
Monica Hamilton, et. al. v. Richard Lyng		88–91 88–55		Food Stamps	2/01/88 to 7/31/88
Barnes et. al. v.				Child Support	4/17/89 to Present
Windley v. McMahon		90 – 102 92 – 03		GAIN	10/12/86 to Present
Crary v. McMahon		90-86 91-24 92-03 92-51	I-14-91	GAIN	12/15/86 to Present
Sanchez v. McMahon		92 - 03		GAIN	6/30/86 to Present
Steffans v. McMahon				Food Stamps, AFDC	11/28/87 to Present
Miranda v. Velasquez				Food Stamps	3/11/91 to Present
Miller, et.al. v. Carlson		91–89 91–114 92–61		GAIN, AFDC, NET	6/7/91 to Present
Jacobson v. McMahon		90–68 91–56 92–03	I-41-91	GAIN	5/9/87 to Present
Varela/Yslas v.		90 – 70 92 – 03		GAIN	12/21/87 to Present
CCWRO v. McMahon		92-03		GAIN	2/6/87 to Present

COURT CASES

C. The following court cases have been closed or dismissed and there is no longer a need to hold records related to these cases except in accordance with the general requirements for public assistance records specified in this letter:

CASE	FSD	ACL	ACIN	RECORDS COVERED	PERIOD COVERED
<u>Vaessen</u> v. <u>Woods</u>		80-67	I-150-82	AFDC, RCA & ECA PROGRAMS	1/1/79 - 8/31/82
Shaw v. McMahon		85 - 25 84 - 109	I-106-84	AFDC	10/1/84 - 4/30/85
Rutan v. McMahon		88-76		AFDC	6/1/83 - 8/26/86
Abbott v. McMahon	FSDIN I-12-89		I-76-89	AFDC, Child Support	10/1/84 to 12/31/88
Rodriquez V. McMahon		92-03		GAIN	6/30/86 to Present